



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,250	02/25/2004	Richard P. Schubert	A0312.70518US00	2714	
7590	03/25/2009		EXAMINER		
Edmund J. Walsh Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210		ELAND, SHAWN			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2188			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		03/25/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/786,250	SCHUBERT, RICHARD P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHAWN ELAND	2188	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) SHAWN ELAND. (3) Ed Walsh.
 (2) Examiner Sough. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Zangenhpour.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained the invention and how it differs from the prior art. Applicant's representative and Examiner discussed the claim language, as recently amended. Applicant's representative stated that the amendments further clarify how the priority is a function of the address space. Examiner stated the amendments appear to further define the claimed "priority" and will take this interview into consideration during further Examination of the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Shawn Eland/ Examiner, Art Unit 2188	/Hyung S. Sough/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2188
--	--